UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,521	09/29/2006	Chae-Ok Yun	P10026US	1275
20529 THE NATH LA	7590 08/07/200 AW GROUP		EXAMINER	
112 South West	t Street		HILL, KEVIN KAI	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,521	YUN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KEVIN K. HILL	1633
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 14-16 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and Applicant may not request that any objection to the subjection to the subjection to the subjection and applicant may not request that any objection to the subjection is objected.	6 is/are withdrawn from considerand/or election requirement. iner. inccepted or b) □ objected to by the	e Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		007101101110111111111111111111111111111
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date October 1, 2006.	4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1633

Detailed Action

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's response to the Requirement for Restriction, filed on April 16, 2009 is acknowledged.

Applicant has elected the invention of Group III, claim(s) 7 and 13, drawn to methods of delivering a gene into cells and treating a cancer, the methods comprising administering a gene delivery system comprising a Relaxin-encoding nucleotide sequence.

Election of Applicant's invention(s) was made with traverse.

Applicant argues that the Groups I-III share a special technical feature, specifically a Relaxin-encoding nucleotide sequence to enhance a transduction efficiency of a nucleotide sequence of interest into a cell, and thus under PCT Rule 13.2, all of the presently pending claims possess unity of invention. Such a use is novel and inventive over the medical use of Relaxin disclosed by Conrad (U.S. 2002/0019349).

Furthermore, the Examiner has not set forth "an appropriate explanation" as to the existence of a "serious burden" of search for the claims of Group I-III. It is Applicant's position that there is no serious burden.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are unpersuasive.

With respect to unity of invention, the structural limitations of Group I, Claim 1 is a gene delivery system comprising a Relaxin-encoded nucleic acid sequence. As stated in the prior Office Action, Conrad et al disclose a gene delivery system comprising a nucleic acid encoding Relaxin. Thus, the claim does not recite a special technical feature that contributes over the prior art. Thus, the Groups do not share a special technical feature required by PCT Rule 13.2 for unity of invention.

With respect to search burden, MPEP §803 states that "If the search and examination of all the claims in an application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions."

Page 3

In the instant case, Applicant appears to have overlooked the joining paragraphs on pages 3-4 of the prior Office action describing why a serious burden exists. A serious burden exists since each limitation, directed to a composition comprising a nucleic acid sequence encoding a Relaxin protein and a composition comprising a Relaxin protein to improve penetration of an undisclosed medicament into a tissue, requires a separate, divergent, and non co-extensive search and examination of the patent and non-patent literature. For instance, a search and consideration of the prior art as it relates to a composition comprising a Relaxin protein to improve penetration of an undisclosed medicament into a tissue would not be adequate to uncover prior art related to an adenovirus vector encoding a Relaxin protein.

Further, a search and examination of all the claims directed to all embodiments involves different considerations of novelty, obviousness, written description, and enablement for each claim. In view of these requirements, it is the Examiner's position that searching and examining all of the claims including limitations to a composition comprising a nucleic acid sequence encoding a Relaxin protein and a composition comprising a Relaxin protein to improve penetration of an undisclosed medicament into a tissue in the same application presents a serious burden on the Examiner for the reasons given above and in the previous Restriction Requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 14-16 are pending but withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 7 and 13 are under consideration.

Priority

This application is a 371 of PCT/KR05/00921 filed on March 30, 2005. Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in The Republic of Korea on March 30, 2004. It is noted, however, that

Application/Control Number: 10/599,521 Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant has not filed a certified copy of the KR 10-2004-0021601 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant has filed Information Disclosure Statements on October 1, 2006 that have been considered. The signed and initialed PTO Forms 1449 are mailed with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the Applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The claims are dependent, directly or indirectly, upon non-elected compositions. Thus, it is unclear what composition is to be administered to the patient as per the instantly claimed methods. It would be remedial to draft the claims in independent form.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the Applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the Applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,521 Page 5

Art Unit: 1633

2. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirsch et al (U.S. 2003/0003583).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP §201.15.

Hirsch et al disclose a method of delivering a gene into cells for the treatment of cancer [0151], the method comprising the use of an adenoviral gene delivery system [0019], wherein the gene may encode Relaxin [0140].

Conclusion

3. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to KEVIN K. HILL whose telephone number is (571)272-8036. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, between 9:00am-6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Joseph T. Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin K. Hill/

Examiner, Art Unit 1633